
ABIR KARMAKAR

DISPLACEMENT

Curated by Birgid Uccia



ABIR KARMAKAR

DISPLACEMENT

Curated by Birgid Uccia

GALERIE MIRCHANDANI + STEINRUECKE

15 November 2017 – 28 February 2018



DISPLACEMENT

Abir Karmakar

Birgid Uccia

The following essay is based on the exhibition 'Displacement' by Abir Karmakar, held at Galerie Mirchandani + Steinruecke subsequent to the 3rd Kochi-Muziris Biennale 2016. On display are large-scale interior paintings, executed in oil-on-canvas, from the series 'Home' (2016), first showcased at the Kashi Art Gallery, a traditional house in the South Indian style, and one of the prominent venues of the Kochi-Muziris Biennale. Complementing five of the Biennale paintings with three recent interiors (2017) for the gallery show, Karmakar revisits and further interrogates the core subject matters of 'Home', such as site-specificity, identity, memory, and belonging. In addition, the exhibition at Mirchandani + Steinruecke provides him with an accurate frame to reconfigure the role of contemporary painting by relating it to the spatial contingencies and economic imperatives of the gallery context.

The Door

Showcasing Karmakar's virtuoso skill at creating convincing visual illusions on a flat surface, these untitled paintings of domestic interiors are rooted in the Dutch genre of the 17th century. Known for ranking his artistic practice within the lineage of Western art history, Karmakar draws on this early modern period, where the value of a work of art depended as much on its content as on the quality of its execution. However, in his quest of rethinking the visual formula for the depiction of realistic space, Karmakar did not immediately embark on the rendition of large-scale interiors. The cornerstone for the latter was laid in 2013 with a painting of a single, life-sized door. This early painting was based on the conceptualization of the door as an autonomous entity, extracted from the wider narrative and compositional syntax of the interior.

Aligning the shape of the door with the shape of the canvas, Karmakar saw the opportunity to engage in the exploration of the function of 'meta-pictorial' devices. In early realistic paintings, doors, windows, curtains, and mirrors were employed as deceptive elements, visual 'artifices' that conjured the illusion of infinite space. One is reminded of Velázquez' painting *Las Meninas*, whose vanishing point is the doorway, where a person rendered in silhouette appears to hold open a curtain on a short flight of stairs. In addition, the doorway offers extra light to the rear of the painting, alluding to an undefined space behind.

Karmakar covers the surface of the door with meticulously painted stains, scratches, and traces of dirt, numerous marks that not only speak of the door's function, but also the cultural, social, and class origin of its user. The realistic rendition of the door lures the beholder into surrendering to the visual illusion of an infinite space behind. However, Karmakar's intent is not to renounce two-dimensionality by creating a wall object, as such 'give up working on a single plane in favor of three dimensions'.¹ Rather does the shape of the door serve as the painting's frame and is thus an integral part of it. It is, precisely, remaining within the confines of the flat surface that allows him to stage the painting's fictiveness and provoke the beholder's awareness of self-deception.

The Absent Figure

The creation of large-scale interiors offers Karmakar further opportunities to interrogate the epistemological implications of pictorial illusion. Unlike the classic Dutch genre, which depicts the figure in relation to the space, Karmakar's interiors are entirely devoid of human presence. In muted colours, he celebrates the palpable tension between the glaring absence of the figure and its presence made visible through the objects of everyday life. Suitcases, kitchen utensils, clothes, trinkets, and furniture imprint the empty space with their marks. Like the door, they are not inanimate objects, but encode a layered past, memories, and a belonging that go beyond their utilitarian function. Manifesting an expressive subjectivity, these objects draw a psychological portrait of the absent figure in relation to the space, similar to Candida Höfer's large-scale photographs of empty interiors. 'I realized that what people do in those places – and what the spaces do to them – is more obvious when nobody is present, just as an absent guest can be often the topic of a conversation'.²

The delicacy of Karmakar's brushstroke, his technical proficiency with pigments and the subtle and precise effects of light lend these objects almost anthropomorphic qualities, such as the ability to withdraw from the external world by dreaming. 'The furniture takes on elongated shapes, prostrate and languorous. Each piece seems to be dreaming, as if living in a state of trance, like vegetables and mineral things. The draperies speak an unvoiced language, like flowers and skies and setting suns. [...] Everything here has its appropriate measure of light and delicious dark, of harmony itself'.³

The Poetics of Home

Karmakar's interiors evoke home as an inward-looking world of quiet stillness. Painted with compositional clarity, these repositories of private experience have less to do with functionality than with the way in which they convey a certain *Stimmung*, the tentative

mood of its absent inhabitants. These interiors represent a space of refuge in an often diffuse, semi-tenebrous light, where time seems to be suspended. Simultaneously, they manifest the inexorable march of time, as the external world constantly threatens to invade this encapsulated realm of privacy. Apart from being a dreamy refuge of secluded privacy, Karmakar evokes home as a social and cultural space, mirroring the mores and habits of a Gujarati urban middle-class family of the 21st century.

The series 'Displacement' is based on photographs Karmakar took of the domestic environment of a befriended family he visited in Kutch. Throwing light on the profound transformation Indian society has been undergoing due to migration, he concurrently retraces the story of several generations of his own family, which migrated from Chittagong (now in Bangladesh). This personal story reflects the collective destiny of migrants at large, whose existential dilemma lies in displacement and rootlessness. Exploring the notions of home and belonging, place and identity formation, Karmakar's interiors, the arrangements of sofas and curtains, mirrors and framed photographs with garlands, TV sets, and staircases that spiral to nowhere, speak of the irrevocable loss and longing for an origin, of a certain nostalgia that is inexorably tied to the possibility of return as the 'culminating point' of migration. Home is considered as a space between a domestic reality, ordinary and mundane in its very nature, and the evanescent, palimpsest-like memory of something lost that lingers on in fantasies and symbolic imaginings.

Karmakar's quest of 'What is home?', a metaphysical quest in its very nature, invariably leads him to deconstruct the topos 'home'. Rather than being rooted in a clearly identifiable and permanent place, the idea of the original home seems to stem from the process of migration itself. It can only be looked at from the vantage point of dislocation as the *modus vivendi* of migrants. Their acculturation and integration cannot obscure the fact that identity is constructed and transformed through the dynamics of dislocation, with the shifting of home being embedded in the temporality of human existence. Even 'non-migrants' find it hard to unambiguously define 'home', as one can have several homes that only partially match with a physical place. The various implications of home as a geographical, political, social, and emotional space lay bare its historical conditions and the impermanence of its nature.

Painting Revisited

Karmakar's skillful play with illusionism and its capability of 'deceiving the viewer's eye' is linked to the reformulation of the role of contemporary painting. In the 1970s, painting 'seen as an art on the verge of exhaustion, one in which the range of acceptable solutions to a basic problem – how to organize the surface of the picture,'⁴ suffered a serious crisis. This crisis resulted in an abstract vocabulary devoid of any illusionism and, in its most radical form, in the negation of the medium itself. In

contrast, Karmakar further develops his realistic vocabulary, firmly rooted in the classical canon of art history. Interrogating the conventions of his vocabulary and its suggestive potential of deception, he exceeds the limits of visual illusionism in terms of space, linking the medium to the contingencies of the site-specific context.

Integral to the production of the paintings for the Kochi-Muziris Biennale was the incorporation of the physical conditions of the Kashi Art Gallery, such as size, scale, topographical features, lighting, and sequence of rooms. In an attempt to align the dimensions of his interiors with the exact dimensions of wall, ceiling, and floor of the Kashi Art Gallery, Karmakar saw himself forced to render some of the painted objects, such as a TV set and cupboards, with slight distortion to fit the spatial requirements. He then cut a door-shaped opening into the canvas of one of the paintings that were displayed at the entrance hall. This cut-out corresponded to the exact location and size of the entrance hall door of the Kashi Art Gallery. Instead of deceiving the viewer's eye with a painted door resembling a real one, Karmakar forced the viewer to literally walk through the painting to access the rooms behind. Bringing Lucio Fontana's radical gesture to mind, that consisted in overcoming the flat limitations of picture making by slicing the canvas, Karmakar boldly 'assaulted' the canvas in an attempt to expand the medium into the physical space.

Site-Specificity Again

Offering an experiential and spatial understanding of the historical Biennale site, Karmakar decided to reformulate the term 'site-specificity' in relation to the white cube of the gallery, often called a 'blank slate'. In a critical approach to throw light on the adoption and assimilation of this term into the dominant culture, he links it to the dynamics of displacement. He 'dislocates' five paintings from the Biennale and has them 'migrate' from the institutional context to the commercial space of the Galerie Mirchandani + Steinruecke, assigning these paintings a new 'home'.

The displacement of the paintings asks for an additional site-specific gesture that consists in placing them on the gallery floor. Similar to the radical modernist practice of freeing the sculpture from the pedestal, Karmakar frees the paintings from the wall. He places four interiors from the Biennale as autonomous, free-standing elements in Room 1 of the gallery, rendering their make-shift supportive structures visible. But whereas the modernist sculpture severed its relation to the actual site by renouncing the pedestal, thus achieving the status of a placeless, nomadic object, Karmakar's gesture of renouncing the wall is what relates the interior paintings to the actual location.

In Room 2, he displays a space-dividing structure on which two interiors, specifically created for the show, and spanning the width of the room, are stretched on the back

and front of the makeshift support. As at the Kashi Art Gallery, Karmarkar repeats the bold gesture of slicing the canvas to cut out a door shape, forcing the viewer once more to walk through the painting to get access to the rooms behind. He challenges the idea of what constitutes 'painting' by exploring the expansive terrain between painting and site-specificity. Offering a comment on the constitutional elements of, and the numerous possibilities within, painting itself, the medium is used to 'interrogate rather than accommodate the given architecture, disrupting the spatial conditions of the art work's site.'⁵

The Protagonist

Establishing an inextricable relationship between the work of art and its site, Karmakar demands the physical presence of the viewer as an indispensable component for the work's completion. Questioning the limitations of the medium of painting as a self-sufficient aesthetic category, he turns the viewer from voyeur into protagonist. Designating the beholder a position within the painting by absorbing him or her into the depicted scene, Karmakar offers an imaginary entry, which, for a single arrested moment, makes painting and beholder fuse together. At the same time, the elision of the gap between subject and object is revealed as pure self-deception, the veritable 'culminating point of the involvement process'. Hiding and revealing the false premises of illusionism, Karmakar creates the actual experience of walking on a stage. This experience is enhanced by the visibility of the supportive structures, with the free-standing interiors assuming an almost theatrical quality, making the beholder aware that the reception of the paintings does not only include the spatial environment in which they are located, but also his or her active involvement.

Emphasizing the presence of the beholder, Karmakar articulates the pictorial space in its expansiveness, transcending its function as a self-contained whole. He subjects the painterly practice to the process of self-renewal, rejecting the clear, epistemological differentiation between pictorial and physical space in modernist paintings, proposed by leading art theorists such as Rosalind Krauss. In discussing the ideological purism of modernist paintings, Krauss suggests that the '[p]ictorial space is that which cannot be entered or circulated through; it is irremediably space viewed from a distance, and is therefore eternally resigned to frontality.'⁶

In Room 3 of the gallery, Karmakar stretched one painting dislocated from the Biennale on a makeshift wall, replacing the gallery wall. This large convex-shaped structure allows him to adjust the size of the painting, reflecting the slightly bigger dimensions of the wall of the Biennale site, to the gallery room. One is reminded of Karmakar's earlier series 'Views' and 'Angles' (2014), consisting of empty and hermetically sealed off interiors with no signs of a living being. Through a keyhole vision, he enables the viewer to see the objects from different angles at one glance. Unlike these earlier series, where the beholder is kept outside the interiors, the free-standing structure in Room 3 asks for the physical involvement of the beholder. The sheer size of the structure does not allow him to *contemplate* the painting in its frontality. Rather is he forced to walk along the length of the curved shape, bulging into the space, exposing himself to destabilizing perspectives in order to *experience* the painting in its totality.

The Mural

Karmakar's reflections on site-specificity not only address ideas of display and perception, but extend them into the mode of dissemination as an imperative of the commercial space. Unlike the institutional frame of the Biennale, the gallery brings to mind the cycles of the capitalist market economy, which circulates art works as exchangeable commodities. Exploring the genesis of painting, from mural to easel painting, Karmakar traces the medium's history from being organically connected to architecture to its execution on a portable support. In Room 4, the last one in the sequence of gallery rooms, he ironically plays with this genesis. He paints one detail of the medium-sized interior, which he created specifically for Room 4 – a skirting made of geometrically patterned floor tiles typical of Indian middle class homes – on the bottom of the column facing the painting, which is part of the architectural structure of the room. Likewise, he paints the surface of the gallery door adjacent to the painting in one of the interior's dominant colours to generate a coherent spatial environment that integrates the main architectural elements of the room.

The gesture of painting the bottom of the column reminds of early murals at a time when painting hadn't gained mobility and autonomy from architecture. Reassessing the relationship between painting and architecture, Karmakar demonstrates that site-related works of art are not just exchangeable commodity goods that fall victim to the 'tyranny' of capitalist market forces. As the series 'Displacement' manifests, the *in situ* displayed interiors are not mere self-sufficient, trans-historical entities with universal meaning. Rather are they experienced in the *hic et nunc* of an 'unrepeatable and fleeting situation', emphasizing the spatial particularity and temporality of the location as well as the ephemeral presence of the beholder. Karmakar ingeniously resists the homogenization of space and the commodification of painting as placeless and exchangeable. The exhibition is testimony to the celebration of the open-endedness and continually expanding, self-interrogating and evolving nature of the medium. He forces the beholder to critically rethink the prevailing cultural and economic value system which circulates painting, throwing light on the conditions of its production, perception, display, and dissemination.

Birgid Uccia

Notes

1. Michael Fried, "Art and Objecthood", in: *Art and Objecthood. Essays and Reviews*, Chicago: University of Chicago Press, 1998, pp. 148. The author describes the use of shaped instead of rectangular surfaces by Stella, Noland, and Olitski to be experienced as part of the painting and not as object.

2. Candida Höfer, in: 'Candida Höfer en México', Galería OMR, México: Turner, 2016, p. 104.

3. Charles Baudelaire, 'The Twofold Room', in: Francis Scarfe (Ed.), *The Poems in Prose, with La Fanfarlo*, London: Anvil Press, 1989, p. 37.

4. Michael Fried, ibid.

5. Miwon Kwon, 'One Place after Another. Site-Specific Art and Locational Identity', Cambridge: MIT Press, 2002, p. 5.

6. Rosalind Krauss, 'Léger, Le Corbusier, and Purism', in: Artforum, vol. 10, no. 8, p. 52.

Birgid Uccia studied Philosophy and History of Art at the University of Zurich. She has years of in-depth experience in the international art world as the co-owner of a gallery and project space in Zurich, author of catalogue essays and reviews and curator of "Art Clips", a video art project commissioned by National Swiss Television. She is the founder of ACFA Asian Contemporary Fine Arts, providing curatorial and independent art advisory services in the field of contemporary art from India and the Subcontinent. Drawing parallels between Western and Eastern art practices, her curatorial and academic expertise provides a considered framework to promote contemporary art from the region. She was nominated senior curator of St. Moritz Art Masters 2014, focus India, and guest lecturer on Indian Modern and Contemporary Art and Art Market at the University of Zurich, Art Market Studies Executive Master Program. She has recently curated the group exhibition 'Waste Land' in collaboration with the Consulate General of Switzerland in Mumbai and TARQ Gallery.


Door IV, 2017 Oil on canvas 213 × 91.4 cm / 84 × 36 in



Displacement (Wall III), 2017

Oil on canvas 256.5 × 233.6 cm / 101 × 92 in

Overleaf Installation View Galerie Mirchandani + Steinruecke







Detail from Home (Wall V), 2016

Overleaf Home (Wall V), 2016 Oil on canvas 259 × 596.9 cm / 102 × 235 in







Detail from **Displacement (Wall II)**, 2017

Overleaf **Displacement (Wall II)**, 2017 Oil on canvas 274.3 × 411.4 cm / 108 × 162 in







Displacement (Wall I), 2017 Oil on canvas 274.3 × 411.4 cm / 108 × 162 in

Overleaf 1-3 Installation Views Galerie Mirchandani + Steinruecke

















Home (Wall I), 2016

Oil on canvas 287 × 302 cm / 113 × 119 in

Overleaf Detail from **Home (Wall III)**, 2016

Home (Wall III), 2016 Oil on canvas 287 × 266.7 cm / 113 × 105 in







Home (Wall IV), 2016

Oil on canvas 287 × 266.7 cm / 113 × 105 in



Home (Wall II), 2016 Oil on canvas 287 × 302 cm / 113 × 119 in

Overleaf Detail from **Home (Wall VI)**, 2016

Home (Wall VI), 2016 Oil on canvas 289 × 290 cm / 114 × 114.5 in

Overleaf 2 Installation View Kochi-Muziris Biennale, Kochi, Kerala











Home (Wall VII), 2016 Oil on canvas 279 × 231 cm / 110 × 91 in

Overleaf Detail from **Home (Wall VII)**, 2016

Overleaf 2 Installation Views Kochi-Muziris Biennale, Kochi, Kerala











ABIR KARMAKAR

Born 1977 in Siliguri, India BVA (Painting), Rabindra Bharati University, Kolkata MFA (Painting), Faculty of Fine Arts, M.S. University, Baroda Lives and works in Baroda

Solo Exhibitions

- 2017-18 'Displacement', curated by Birgid Uccia, Galerie Mirchandani + Steinruecke, Mumbai
- 2015 'Uncanny Space', Aicon Gallery, New York
- 2012 'Room, Interrupted in Passage', Galerie Mirchandani + Steinruecke, Mumbai
- 2011 'The Morning After', Aicon Gallery, New York
- 2008 'Within the Walls', Gallery Espace, New Delhi
- 2007 'In The Old Fashioned Way', Aicon Gallery, London
- 2006 'Interiors', Galerie Heike Curtze, Berlin
- 2005 'from my photo album', presented by Galerie Mirchandani + Steinruecke at The Museum Gallery, Mumbai

Selected Group Exhibitions

- 2018 'Drawn From Practice', Experimenter, Kolkata
- 2018 India Art Fair, New Delhi, presented by Galerie Mirchandani + Steinruecke
- 2018 '20 / 20 Artists for Khoj', Khoj International Artists' Association, New Delhi
- 2017 'Culture of the Streets', Aicon Gallery, New York
- 2017 'DWELLING', 10th Anniversary Show (Part II), curated by Ranjit Hoskote, Galerie Mirchandani + Steinruecke, Mumbai
- 2016 'Forming In The Pupil Of An Eye', curated by Sudarshan Shetty, Kochi-Muziris Biennale, Kochi
- 2016 'Abir Karmakar, Sunil Padwal', GALLERYSKE, Bangalore
- 2015 'In the depths of our crater lake, all is silent...', 1 × 1 Gallery, Dubai
- 2015 'Three Projects', Abir Karmakar, Sunil Padwal, Unnikrishnan C., GALLERYSKE, New Delhi
- 2014 'Mapmakers III: The Evolution of Contemporary South Asian Art', Aicon Gallery, New York

- 2014 'Residual Remnants', curated by Rekha Rodwittiya, SITE art space, Baroda
- 2014 India Art Fair, New Delhi, presented by Galerie Mirchandani + Steinruecke
- 2014 'My Sweet Lord', 1 × 1 Gallery, Dubai
- 2014 'Reviving the Retinal', curated by Kat Wyma, Gallery OED, Kochi
- 2013 'Touched by Bhupen', Galerie Mirchandani + Steinruecke, Mumbai
- 2013 'Peak Shift Effect', curated by Gayatri Sinha, Vadehra Art Gallery, New Delhi
- 2012 'Four Projects', GALLERYSKE, Bangalore
- 2012 'Cynical Love: Life in the Everyday', curated by Gayatri Sinha, Kiran Nadar Museum of Art, Noida
- 2012 'Reconstructing (White) 3', curated by Himali Singh Soin, The Loft, Mumbai
- 2011 'Adbhutam Rasa in Indian Art', CIMA Gallery, Kolkata and India Habitat Centre, New Delhi
- 2011 'Window in the Wall: India and China Imaginary Conversations', curated by Gayatri Sinha and Gao Minglu, Pearl Lam Fine Art, Shanghai
- 2011 'Dolls', curated by Marta Jakimowicz, Gallery Sumukha, Bangalore and Chennai and Rob Dean Art, London
- 2011 'Of Gods and Goddesses, Cinema, Cricket The New Cultural Icons Of India', curated by Arshiya Lokhandwala for RPG Academy of Art & Music, Mumbai
- 2011 'Yeh Image Mahaan India meets Bharat', organized by CIMA Gallery, Kolkata, Lalit Kala Akademi, New Delhi
- 2011 'Pills', curated by Avni Doshi, Latitude 28, Delhi
- 2010 'INDIA AWAKENS Under the Banyan Tree', curated by Alka Pande, Essl Museum, Klosterneuburg, Austria
- 2010 'Have I Ever Opposed You? New Art from India and Pakistan', Faye Fleming & Partner, Geneva
- 2009 'Shifting Shapes Unstable Signs', curated by Robert Storr and Jaret Vadera, Yale University School of Art, New Haven, Connecticut
- 2009 'Mutant Beauty', curated by Gayatri Sinha, Anant Art Gallery, New Delhi
- 2008 Gallery weekend at the *Baumwollspinnerei* Factory Complex, Leipzig, Germany

Awards

2016 Asia Society's 'Asia Arts Future Award', India



Curation and Essay Birgid Uccia

Photography and Pre-press Commercial Art Engravers Pvt. Ltd.

Printing Prodon Enterprises

© Abir Karmakar and Galerie Mirchandani + Steinruecke

GALERIE MIRCHANDANI + STEINRUECKE

Sunny House, First Floor, 16/18 Mereweather Road Behind Taj Mahal Hotel, Colaba, Mumbai 400 001, India + 91 22 2202 3030 / 3434 / 3636 | www.galeriems.com

ISBN 978-81-931562-3-0

GALERIE MIRCHANDANI + STEINRUECKE